UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/803,167 | 03/16/2004 | Robert N. Nazzal | 12221-033001 | 4158 |
| ²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICH <i>A</i> | 7590 10/10/200 ARDSON PC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 | | COLIN, CARL G | | |
| MIINNEAPOLI | S, MN 55440-1022 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2436 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/10/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/803,167 | NAZZAL, ROBERT N. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | CARL COLIN | 2136 | |
|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appea | ars on the cover sheet with t | the correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>23 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION | ON FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice eplies: (1) an amendment, affi al (with appeal fee) in complia | e of Appeal. To avoid abar davit, or other evidence, w nce with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Action of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | lvisory Action, or (2) the date set the than SIX MONTHS from the moon on the theory. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN | ailing date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sleet forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amo nortened statutory period for reply | ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | sideration and/or search (see v); | NOTE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11 | orresponding number of finally 6 and 41.33(a)). | rejected claims. | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection on the following rejection (s): | | | , |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under a | ppeal and/or appellant fail | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims aft | er entry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but (see continuation of 3 below). | | | ce because: |
| 12. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | _ | |
| | /Carl Colin/ | | |
| | Primary Examiner, A | rt Unit 2136 | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to recite at least that the baseline is a baseline list of service and port protocols used by a host and the baseline period is of longer duration "that" a current period. The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search as the scope of the claims have changed.